

# An Assessment of the Immigrant Phenomenon from the Perspective of Building a World Civilization

murat şengöz<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Affiliation not available

December 18, 2020

## Abstract

The issue of migrants and refugees is undoubtedly one of the most important security and survival problems of our time. Because what could be more truthful and pathetic than the drama of people who had to leave their country with a feeling of helplessness, and how can this despair be described. Ultimately, every migration is a journey to hope, but more important than a journey to hope is the pain and troubles experienced before the story, the helplessness felt and the hopes lost. It is of course nice for people to follow their hopes, but what is more beautiful is that people can keep their hopes and dreams alive in their homeland. The bottom line is that every migration is a tragedy in a way, and the migrants are just extras of this tragedy. Arriving at the place of immigration is the beginning of a new and completely different story, where dreams flourish for some, and hopes for others are completely lost.

## An Assessment of the Immigrant Phenomenon from the Perspective of Building a World Civilization

### ABSTRACT

The concept of migration means that people are permanently moved from one country or region to another country or region, individually or in groups. So this is a permanent session change. The relocation can take place within the country or abroad, but the important point is that the permanent migration in the context of political and economic relations rather than the proximity of the migration distance is important. In this respect, domestic immigration is a major humanitarian, economic and sociocultural problem that needs to be explored and solved. Today, one of the most important themes of many developed western countries is to ensure that the immigrant population and the indigenous people live together in harmony. This is called integration. As a result of living together, concepts such as multiculturalism and if dual citizenship has emerged. Migrants, migrants, and children of immigrants appear to be mobile, multi-lingual individuals who are shuttling between receiving and receiving countries. The immigrant and refugee issue are undoubtedly one of the most important security and survival problems of the time frame we live in. Because what could be more truthful and pathetic than the drama experienced by people who had to leave their country in mass, how and how this desperation can be described. In this study, the relationship between the concept of world citizenship and immigration will be revealed in accordance with qualitative research methods. In this context, the phenomenon of world citizenship will be handled from an axiological perspective in the axis of the concept of immigration, acculturation, and globalization.

**Keywords:** Immigrant Phenomenon, Acculturation, Integration, Worldwide Civilization,

### The Immigrant Case

With the developments in communication and information technologies and interest in human rights, physical boundaries disappear, and the world human cosmopolitan becomes isomorphic in the components of

a global culture. On this basis, being an immigrant is theoretically based on the idea of world citizenship, a world civilization, the brotherhood of people. This idea philosophically recalls Marx and Engels the “All People Are Brothers”, which references essentially to the first article of the universal declaration of human rights. In essence, the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, with its principles, points out the common interests of humanity and the right to human life independently of their nationality. Today, the forms of communication offered by the modern world can allow for cross-border solidarity and posture, and to develop a collective empathy. In this context, there is an urgent need to recall the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and its re-acceptance as a basic starting point for the construction of a world civilization.

Every closed system is exposed to the entropy. The main reason for entropy is that the system does not grasp the information aging and the requirements of the time and cannot comply with the environment. For countries moving away from democratic norms and human rights, entropy is inevitable. In such countries, human life has no value. Any system that does not center the humanity is exposed to entropy. For this reason, before making an opinion about the migration and immigration phenomenon, first, it is necessary to turn to the source of the problem. Therefore; In this study on the phenomenon of immigration, it is beneficial to make the entrance with the Universal Declaration of Human Rights.

United Nations General Assembly; By considering this Declaration at all times, with all members of the human community and their organizations, to develop respect and respect for these rights and freedoms through education and training, through the increasing national and international measures, the effective recognition and enforcement of these rights among the peoples of the member states and the peoples of countries under the administration of these states. it announces this Universal Declaration of Human Rights, which sets out common ideal measures for all peoples and nations so that they strive to provide. In this context It is essential to highlight that the Universal Declaration of Human Rights has been drafted and adopted not to decorate the meeting rooms of international and national public buildings or the amphitheater of the faculties of political science and sociology, but to transform the world into a peaceful and safe living space where people live in safety.

The concept of migration means that people move individually or in groups, from one country or region, to another country or region permanently. So, the point here is a permanent session change. This change of location may take place within the country or outside the country (Dlbet, 2008). What is important at this point is that rather than the proximity of the migration distance, it is a permanent move in the context of political and economic relations. In this respect, domestic immigration is an important human, economic and socio- cultural problem that needs to be explored and solved.

According to Depeli (2009:1), migration has not been a historically new phenomenon, but has been updated since the 1980s in terms of the new concepts it produces. According to Yazgan (2010:13), migration is a phenomenon that creates opportunities and difficulties between the country of origin and the host country. The fact that the phenomenon of immigration and immigrants is examined by different disciplines and is of interest also caused different theories and approaches about the concept in every discipline against the phenomenon of immigration (Somuncu 2006, 1). In general, migration, social, cultural, economic, political, etc. of society. It can be stated that it is an event closely related to its entire structure (Gelekçi, 2011:123). According to Mehmet Fikret Gezgin (Gezgin, 1994: 16), the fact that migration is based on more than one reason makes it difficult to create a general theory of the phenomenon of migration. Because immigration is a difficult phenomenon in terms of time and ground, classification, category and details. The reason for this is that psychosocial and sociocultural motives that causes migration have an intertwined quality. According to Yalçın (2004:13), migration is a geographical, social and cultural displacement movement that is aimed at short, medium or long term, return or permanent settlement targeted from one place to another for economic, political, ecological or individual reasons. According to Gordon Marshall (1999:685), migration involves the permanent movement of individuals or groups beyond symbolic or political boundaries, towards new settlements and societies.

The study area of the migration phenomenon has undergone various changes over time. According to Şahin (2010, 104), issues such as the transnational ties of immigrants, immigrant entrepreneurs, ethnic markets,

education and identity problems of immigrant youth and the future of immigrants have begun to be kept on the agenda with different topics. According to Yazgan (2010:22), the first of the two main questions that international migration theories and researches are seeking for are the first, why migration has happened and why it has been going on for so long, and secondly, it is the economic, social and political What is the consequences.

Western states sought to control international migration, especially in the 1970s when the need to keep international migration movements from underdeveloped countries to developed countries under control (Castles and Miller, 2008:131-132). Western Governments have identified strategies to streamline international migration. Political issues such as cooperation on migration, control of borders to prevent illegal immigration, visa exemptions are one of the most important negotiation issues between developed and underdeveloped countries today.

The international migration process is a complex process for both migrating and receiving countries. Each country is affected differently from this process. For the emigrant countries, the population going abroad, decreasing unemployment rates and increasing foreign currency inflow to the country have positive results, on the other hand, the fact that the qualified workforce goes abroad is a separate problem that decreases the added value in the country which can be described as "brain drain". In terms of immigrant countries, immigrants may have negative consequences in the context of increasing unemployment rates at the beginning, eroding the budget due to mandatory humanitarian aid, disruption of public order and terrorism problems, provoking nationalist movements, separating the public and damaging the homogeneity of the society. In addition, it may be desirable for employers to provide qualified and relatively cheap labor opportunities. On the other hand, immigrants are not always made up of poor people. Often rich immigrants migrate with their wealth and make large commercial and industrial investments in the countries they visit. Immigrant movements, which can sometimes be described as brain drain, can also lead the development of the country in which they go.

When talking about immigration abroad, an important issue that is frequently discussed and considered negative for the sending country is brain drain. Brain drain is the situation where a well-educated, thinking, producing, and qualified work force does not return to another country when they are most productive for research or work (Bakırtaş and Kandemir, 2010: 962). The fact that skilled workers go abroad does not only mean the loss of trained workforce, but also the fact that trained workforce works abroad can have important contributions in terms of commercial, economic and tourism relations between countries is the possibility of breaking off. Sociological observations made in this context show that the feeling that qualified people groups migrating abroad feel most intensely after settling abroad is a sense of deceit. This sense of deception erodes the emotional bond between qualified people who migrate abroad. On the other hand, the situation is generally the opposite for unskilled labor. The fact that unskilled labor force holders in countries where they are unable to hold sociocultural or have difficulty in holding increases the aspirations of the country and strengthens their love for the country.

According to Erder (2008: 42), migration is a human right, has been politicized recently due to the spread of immigrants and foreigners and has become almost one of the national security issues. Therefore, being a migrant has unfortunately started to be synonymous with being a criminal. It has two aspects. The first is that the host states share their resources with the immigrant population, which is dissatisfied among the citizens of the host country. The second is the launching of a qualified workforce who settled in a foreign country as a traitor, sometimes under the influence of harsh propaganda in the homeland. The strangely unqualified workforce's migration abroad is supported by the society, but it is not accepted by the society that the qualified workforce continues to live abroad with well-educated people with high intellectual capacity, and this issue is exploited by the political administrations. However, a qualified workforce abroad is the most valuable public diplomacy asset of a country.

One of the most important themes of many developed western countries today is to ensure that the immigrant population and the local people live together in harmony. This is called integration. As a result of living together, concepts such as "multiculturalism" and "dual citizenship" have emerged (Yılmaz, 2008:79). People

of immigrant origin, that is, immigrants and immigrant children, appear as lively, multi-affiliated individuals who act as a shuttle between the immigrant and receiving countries (Yazgan, 2010:1).

According to Güllüpinar (2012:74), the effects of this immigrant network on social cohesion of immigrants have both positive and negative aspects. This network facilitates the social cohesion of the newly arrived immigrant, but in some cases the opposite is also possible. When migrants live as a closed-in parallel society, thanks to the existing economic, political and social networks, there is no transition and adaptation of migrants to life in the new country of migration. Thanks to the presence of the network, new immigrants who meet all their needs within their own group, are closer to their own group and avoid contact with the people in the country where they migrate. In addition to the positive effects of the immigrant network, such as solidarity, it also has negative effects such as isolating themselves from the new society they come from and closing into their own group.

The long-term effects of migration on society are felt more and more in the later stages of the migration process, when immigrants settle permanently, and when small communes are formed in society, separate from the general population. According to Yazgan (2010:41), today's immigrants are religious, political, economic ties are expanding everyday life of the nation marginalize themselves in their area of new settlements (enclaves) are created.

Establishing their own settlements in the countries where the immigrants are located, especially in the state-immigrant relationship, it is especially perceived as the security problem of international migration. This issue promotes the tendency to return to re-assimilation policies in developed countries receiving immigration (İçduygu, 2008:23). International migrations are one of the biggest sources of diversity in societies. Multiculturalism is constantly moving, with both family reunions and individual migrations (Canatan, 2009: 81). National policies that adopt multiculturalism should give the immigrant the right to have two identities and choose one of them. Because the most important point for the determined national policies is what kind of identity the immigrant individual or the masses adopt and to what extent they live can adopt the identity of the society. What is meant by choice is ethnic and national identity (Öztürk, 2011: 23).

The existence of cultural and identity diversity in a country that is a requirement of the concept of multiculturalism depends on the policy of the host country and to what extent it supports cultural diversity (Öztürk, 2011:23). It can be perceived as a path to multiculturalism, democratization and tolerance, or it can emerge as a discourse to maintain the hegemony of power if there is a state policy. Because organizations that help to explain their ethnic identities and cultures to groups of ethnic and religious origin can not only provide political rights to immigrant groups that can respond to their real demands, but they can only serve to announce their presence by opening up the cultural area as a place of struggle, and as a result, they only aim to give messages on the homeland (Yarma, 2006:64).

In harmony, undoubtedly there is a serious responsibility for immigrant communities, as well as those who emigrate have as much responsibility as immigrants. In this context, cohesion is not one-sided, double-sided is a process that requires effort (Heckman, 1997:283). Living with differences is part of integration, otherwise it is not assimilation but assimilation. Assimilation does not describe the phenomenon of integration from a sociological perspective. Assimilation depersonalization means sociological destruction. Assimilation is the justification of hypocrisy. Integration is the meeting of civilizations, reunification of cultures, melting of differences in a pot. The glorification of multiculturalism is a trigger catalyst of change, development and modernization, acceptance of the idea of assimilation and bankruptcy of its policies.

## Globalization

The prominent element in the period features set out in the definitions; globalization is the dominant phenomenon of the period we are in. Thomas Friedman (1999) in *The Lexus and the Olive Tree: Understanding Globalization*; "Globalization is not a phenomenon. It is not a temporary trend either. Today, it is an international inclusive system that shapes both local and foreign policies of all countries, and therefore, while writing that we need to understand it this way, it states the importance of the concept of globalization as a key concept that can explain today's change.

For this reason, as the famous "post- modernism " concept of the 1980s, the main idea in understanding the transition of societies to the third millennium in explaining the processes may be the concept of "globalization" which is used in understanding the processes. Globalization is basically the integration of countries and peoples of the world, meaning the removal of artificial barriers to goods, services, capital, information and, to a lesser extent, people crossing borders.

Globalization affects social and cultural lifestyles in the countries, it is directed towards homogenization in production and consumption approaches, and it creates radical changes in the forms of political and economic organization. While the power of the center increases significantly with globalization, the relatively lower competitive powers of the off-center forces are negatively affected (Ekin, 1996).

Social events are necessarily and inherently economic, political-political and cultural. The phenomenon of globalization is also a departure from economic, political, cultural and local / national elements and a homogenization and isomorphism movement on a world scale. By excluding cultural and political developments, a globalization phenomenon that will be explained by economic data will be incomplete. From the education system to art, music and entertainment, consumption patterns, briefly in all areas of life, the norms and patterns specific to the United States and Western values are forcing all national patterns and putting the change into a structure that meets their own norms. Objectively, this change does not occur by force and pressure. Here, only weakness points of human psychology, such as resembling a strong and successful one, and not inverting it, are used. This situation works in accordance with adaptation theories and emphasizes the importance of the environment, whether it is subject or object (Bozkurt 1994 and Ekin, 1997: 36).

Today, with the effect of globalization, the concepts of time and space are losing their importance compared to the past, the borders of the country are disappearing. The effects of globalization should not be seen only as an isomorphism of distant settlements in the context of consumption habits, pleasure and entertainment worlds due to the developments in information and communication technologies of peoples from different geographies. Beyond that, the borders disappear. Every year, millions more are added to millions of immigrants and asylum-seekers, and even hundreds of thousands, and sometimes due to violent regional conflicts (Panikos 1999). In fact, due to these population movements taking place from the backward countries to the developed countries, even the organization of the Immigration Ministries has been formed due to the need arising in the developed country. As a result, the relationship between world societies has been transformed into a more complex and intense state. Inequality between societies causes a social migration movement from poverty to wealth, from poverty to prosperity, from backwardness to development, namely from east to west, due to the sociologically united vessels theory, longing for a life in justice and justice. Strangely, no matter which city you visit today, the west side of the city is the region where relatively wealthy and wealthy people live.

According to the views of radical globalists, the phenomenon of immigrants is in a way the concept of the nation state, which is the product of industrial civilization, is losing its importance. Because rationality is in front of all fictions and the only reality is the maintenance of life in every incident with people. For this reason, today people are less under the influence of political discourses, because the word bread and cheese bread boat does not work. According to Giddens (2008), for people, their personal safety and economic well-being are above all else. This view is the expression that globalization is replacing traditional nation states as a new world order and state form, and that new forms of social organization are beginning to determine. Although it evokes ideas such as globalization, the disappearance of political borders and the right to free movement, it paradoxically condemns societies more to the destructive and dominant policies of the oppressive global capitalist economy.

At this point, the argument that the fact that globalization is a compelling instrument of diplomacy is a natural result of the capitalist order, that is, the harsh policies pursued by the sovereign world, is an easily irrefutable proposition. For example, Sykes-Picot, which was made before the First World War, for the reasons of these shocking mass immigrant and refugee movements that can escape from the Syrian geography today due to bloody and destructive conflicts in the region and try to take refuge in developed European states when possible. It will surely be hypocrisy not to be sought in the agreement.

At this point, it is necessary to say that the whole world is following a handful of passionate businessmen and politicians, and a few times of their feeding, as in the Ice Age movie, as in the incident of a squirrel who led all events to begin. It is not only man and humanity lost. It is the lost hope, the desire to live together and love. Just as the United States originated after the Great Economic Depression of 1929, to tolerate the illegal production and sale of illegal whiskey in the United States, again on the increasing separatist movements and seeking rights in the United States after the Second World War. For African Americans, such as drug use release or blindness. However, at the end of the day, all these ignoring, overlook, and even incentives affect the whole society negatively, like a boomerang. Young girls who prostitute in the streets in the late 1960s to supply drugs on the streets are the children of not only African Americans but also European Americans. Maybe because of these ignoring policies, the United States has lost millions of children in Hindi, in wars without consequences. Essentially, this is the price that the people of the United States pay collectively as a price for the drama they ignore. What they say, at the end of the day everything is in its essence.

Essentially, the whole incident is that a handful of tyrannist throw the world on fire and the vast majority watch silent and wicked events, and silently approve. However, it has been a total humanity that lost each time. For this reason, justice and prosperity continue as a sine wave in the world. In the world, goodness and common sense or greed and anger find their own. From this aspect, when the world political history is analyzed periodically, it can be classified and categorized as numbered tyrants' period, numbered wise men and statesmen periods.

The immigrant and asylum-seeking movements are neither a result of totalitarian tyranny, which, as Chomsky (1996) argued, as a result of destructive capitalism, nor, in theory, a summit of poverty and cruelty in the field, namely real-life contradictions. In essence, it is the result of the unprincipled administration mentalities of both and the third world countries and the pathology of othering and conscience in the world. It is an inevitable consequence of the ambition and hypocrisy of the human race. Because the immigration from the backward countries to the developed countries is the interest relations developed by the passionate businessmen and leaders of the developed countries with the low character and greedy leaders of the undeveloped countries and the business world. In this respect, there are no backward countries, internally and externally, there are societies that have been exploited and ignored, the societies whose rights and human rights have been extorted. Because even the state exists for man, not man for state. Immigration or refugee tragedy is a painful story, the result of an imperative. Once upon a time, it was replaced by societies, who were forced to come as cheap labor and slaves by being forced to leave their homeland by developed colonial countries, this time the stories of people leaving the desperate people of the societies that were desperate due to the harsh globalization and colonialism, with simple inflatable boats. The main actors of this tragedy are the revered leaders of the developed countries, who foolishly cooperate, legitimize and even encourage them with the leaders of the backward countries.

Paradoxically, the phenomenon of immigrant strengthens the nation-state structures of the states and motivates the currents of nationalism, on the other hand it inevitably reveals the necessity of meeting different civilizations, different cultures and melting in a pot and building a world civilization. At this point, the main paradigm that differentiates the local governments from each other is whether they approach differences as a cultural mosaic and diversity or as a means of conflict and their attitudes and behaviors in this bet.

The main reason for migrant and other population movements on a global scale is neither economic inequality nor intense human rights violations and normalized security issues and war situations. These are all essential problems of immigrant movements. But more important of these is the loss of hope. Because only the desperate person leaves everything and leaves his homeland. The displacement of nomadic society from immigration does not count, because they come, they do not, they go to the land they acquired abroad. Every immigration is a journey from good to bad, from poverty to prosperity, from despair to hope. However, there may also be migrations from other developed economies and democracies to other countries with motives such as job and appointment and liking the city's texture. These are mostly immigration movements within

the United States and the United States, Canada and the European Union. However, it would not be correct to count these displacement movements from immigration, because all developed countries are one country, and migrations are individual rather than mass.

Underdeveloped democracies, due to the collapse of the Eastern Block in the last decades and subsequent bloody socioeconomic and military projects aimed at transforming selected regional countries such as the expanded North Africa and the Greater Middle East Project with so-called democracy promises, in the former colonial countries. and population movements from tyrannies to developed countries have increased and become massive. Whatever the point of view is, the primary factor in these social movements is the internet-connected mobile phones and social media that mobilize and dispatch the masses with the effect of a snowball. The most developed area in the modern world has been the technological developments in the field of communication and informatics, the widespread use of technology and the emphasis on human rights. All these developments have revealed a universal culture phenomenon, entertainment and consumption habits as a result of intercultural interaction. The new cultural phenomenon that emerged basically is a synthesis of cultures, an acculturation is the event. Because sovereign powers and their ideologies open a space for them to expand and act in terms of cultural characteristics, education, aesthetics, art, entertainment world. After all, the essence of adaptation theories is nothing more than an assertion that the proposition of the isomorph in the best of others is accepted. At this point, cultural and sportive areas such as music, sports, cinema have a great snowball effect on a global scale. Interestingly, billions of viewers and fans of many well-known clubs such as Real Madrid or Barcelona, a fanatical fan group expressed in millions outside of their own country, or a Canadian-based singer such as Justin Bieber, even though many do not understand the words of their songs because many of them speak different languages. mass has been formed. Thus, the dominant culture is reproduced in the ordinary course of life, often without much effort and planning.

As a result of all these developments and events, the understanding of the state is minimalized in the minds. Because in every society, the young population tends to decrease their trust in politicians. The distrust experienced makes the individuals more apolitical and changes the perception of time and space.

The state's minimalization in the minds of individuals pushes individuals to other quests and activates them for better living conditions. At this point, the effect of the countries that are the source of immigration movements outside the current democracy league in many respects, that is, they have totalitarian and oppressive regimes that are far from the norms of democracy. Paradoxically, leaders and peoples of developed countries, who prefer to work with one-man regimes in the context of business and politics, are concerned about the immigration and asylum of the peoples of the aforementioned countries as a requirement of their obligation and universal right to live, fear and panic. However, the increasing difference between poverty and wealth in the world will inevitably bring with its immigration movements. In the past time, it would be naive to think otherwise while rich countries become richer and poorer countries poorer.

Poverty diminishes the nobility, leads to a spiritual breakdown and pessimism, and rivets despair. In such societies, immigration is the only hope that comes to an end. Nobody would like to leave their country, most people except at least a few. Because immigration, worse asylum-seeking is a total abandonment, it is not known whether it is leaving or remaining. Immigration is to be compelled to set up a future in another country. But to migrate, whatever it may be, is to sail from an obscurity of the world to an unknown width, a dream to be seen after the nightmare.

Of course, people do not migrate only with economic and security concerns. Lifestyles and preferences also appear as a valid reason for immigration. Individuals may also have to leave their countries and countries due to their country's energy policies, their attitudes towards moral matters, their abortion and their homosexual rights. But the number of such is almost negligible in total. Besides, every separation is sad though.

The essence of the immigrant problem arises from the fact that the financial resources of the world are not shared fairly, and that people do not feel safe at the point of living humanly in the countries where they live. Those that cause the immigrant problem are today's versions of the raging mindset that forcibly frees and enslaves free people to use as a production instrument and free labor force just before the modern

age. The greed of this raging mentality extends the quality of life scissors between the poor and the rich against the poor over time. This issue, namely imperialist expansion and colonialism is provoked by the ambitious and beneficial, unprincipled leaders of the region, and the facts are covered up and turned inside out.

The world is a whole, the consequences of a bad administration and human rights violation in a remote part of the world are somehow seen all over the world. The persecution remains silent, the persecution becomes common. Especially the person who incites and encourages the persecution is as if he committed the persecution. It is the responsibility of the lives that are darkened in the process and as a result of the conflicts and wars that are triggered and provoked in desperate societies, only to control the energy sources, energy supply and transportation routes. Regarding the problem of immigrants and asylum-seekers, the decision and attitude of opening all doors to immigrants is palliative, as are the decisions implemented by limiting or channeling population movements. The main thing is to ensure that people live in peace and security in their homeland. Mass population movements are a consequence and their causes must be eliminated. The issue is to eliminate inequality between the establishment of public order and trust and living standards on a world scale.

Efforts should be made to eliminate the inequality between women and men, all social classes, urbanites and peasants in the context of humane living, all over the world. The future of the world is in achieving the liberation of the peoples of the world from all kinds of economic, intellectual and political yoke. It is not a matter of fighting imperialism or tough communism. The issue is to unconditionally support the struggle for the freedom of all peoples and to have a human right to life, and to strive for the establishment of a world civilization.

The biggest survival problem in the near and middle future of the world will be the existence of people living elsewhere in this planet in poverty, misery and ignorance. Undoubtedly, poverty in one part of the world is also a threat to prosperity and life in other regions. If there is inequality in the world, there will be immigration movements. If the movements of migration are exploited, there will be opportunist movements that want to provide them with political and economic rent and benefits. These extremes will give rise to other extremes and the clash of civilizations will continue in a vicious circle.

The clashes will continue until the world realizes that the person is on the same ship and realizes that this life is also an owner and the account day is a questioner. When the mankind will understand that cultural differences are a wealth, being human is more important than anything, then peace will come to the world. This pathetic state of inheritance, the inequality, poverty and exploitation of human beings are the dishonest and unskilled politicians and their character deprived stooges. However, it is only the politicians themselves who can bring peace and peace to the world. In the words of Tolstoy, every fire must be extinguished while it is a spark, if the falling spark is not extinguished and turns to fire, unfortunately, all the rights of the world are damaged. The struggle for immense human rights violations, such as inequality, hunger, illness, or lack of education, or to ethnic or ideological cleansing, is an indispensable survival problem for all humanity. If this problem cannot be solved with peaceful and common sense, unfortunately it will be the cause of even the third world war.

The point is not to put obstacles in front of people's free movement, the main issue is to eliminate the conditions that bring people to the point where they will leave their homes. The immigrant problem is something like a disease in the human body manifesting itself with pain, giving signs. It is the most important security and survivability problem of the time frame we live in with the migrant and refugee problem. The solution of immigrant and refugee problems worldwide is the salvation of humanity. What can be more truthful and pathetic than the drama of the people who are tired of the cruelty and poverty in their country and who must leave their ancestral lands, and how can this despair be described?

### **The Concept of Acculturation**

Culture is the whole material and spiritual values created in the process of historical social development, and the tools used to create them and convey them to the next generations, which show the measure of the

sovereignty of the human to its natural and social environment. Each society is formed under the influence of the strong and distinctive features of a culture. Social culture is the source of individual behaviors, good and bad perceptions and all other norms of the society (Sargut, 2001:21-22). Culture is a term with different meanings. Culture as a concept related to human is a system of meaning and importance created in history. It is a system of beliefs and customs used by a group of people in understanding, organizing and structuring their individual and collective lives. Culture of the definition of the concept is as follows: Historically, all the material and creating them with spiritual values created in the social development process, used in delivering the next generation, the whole of the vehicle showing the dimensions of man's natural and social environment sovereignty. There is a dual process in the formation of culture; In the first process, human is passive and receiving. He lives in a certain geographical environment and meets his nutritional and sheltering needs there. This pioneering relationship with nature, that is, the knowledge, language, behaviors and material production and consumption tools that it has acquired in line with its needs appear as the first step in the creation of culture. In the second stage, the human leaves the receiving position and starts producing; that is, it participates in its environment as an active and active power. This process started limited with the creation of the first tools and accelerated with the Neolithic Age. Culture is a component of social structure whose acceleration increases with accumulation (Kutaniş, 2010). Increasingly, every generation makes a material and spiritual contribution to the culture it inherits and leaves it to the next ones. However, in today's sense, Voltaire had used the word culture for the first time. According to Voltaire, "culture" means the formation, development, development and glorification of human intelligence. The word has been translated into German and used as a "culture" in a German dictionary dated 1973, and later this word has become a "culture" by passing on the dictionaries of other nations (Eroğlu, 1982:187). Cultures refer to an adjective relating to the concept of culture. When used alone, culture refers to roughly all human life. The concept of cultures refers to the direction of culture's formation. The terms organizational culture, business culture, political and academic culture are used for beliefs and traditions that control the interests of life, including the conceptualization, limitation, structuring and organization.

Culture is the whole material and spiritual values created in the process of historical social development, and the tools used to create them and convey them to the next generations, which show the measure of the sovereignty of the human to its natural and social environment. The effects of social culture are also the source of differences and similarities between organizations. Social culture is of course not the only element that determines the attitudes and behaviors of individuals, their perceptions of goodness and evil and shapes their philosophy of life, but it is a very important parameter. However, it is not easy to reveal the effects of social culture on individuals' attitudes and behaviors, perceptions and feelings of belonging, especially in the post-industrial society, namely the new world order. Because today all nations of the world are under the influence of globalization and isomorphism (institutionalization).

The subject of culture is one of the main topics of sociology. Sociologically, culture is the social heritage that surrounds us and learn from people. Culture includes knowledge, art, morality, law, customs and customs, as well as a whole that includes all the other abilities and habits gained by being a member of society. Culture is acquired not by race, but by education and training, and transferred as a social heritage (Erkal, 1982:65). When used alone, culture refers to roughly all human life. The concept of cultures refers to the direction of culture's formation. Culture is something created by man and the condition of human life. The phenomenon of "culture": It is the way of thought, emotion and behavior expressed by the symbols created and transmitted, which reveal the original structures of the groups of people; The basis of culture is traditional views (formed and chosen in the historical process) and especially the values attributed to them (Aycan and Kanungo, 2000:28).

It can be said that human culture creates, but culture also affects human and human beings. This happens thanks to cultivation. Culturalization, also called the process of transformation of culture, is the assimilation of thoughts, actions and emotions that form the culture of the individual and the clusters to which he belongs. Thus, social culture affects organizations and constitutes the source of similarities between organizations. Culture can be defined as a total whole of ideas and arts, beliefs and traditions, thought patterns, notions and formations that people bring together, and affects and determines all human structures.

The globalization process simultaneously presents two cultural images. The first one reaches the upper limits of 'particular culture'. This upper limit is the sphere. All heterogeneous cultures melt in the dominant culture that covers the world. This cultural image involves conquering the global space. The second image is about the compression of cultures. Different cultures flow side by side with no organizing principle. Increasing cultural mobility and complexity, the global area is the fundamental dynamics of the globalization of culture, the fact that technological developments and economic events are perceived in a homogeneous way in world societies (Aslanoglu, 1998).

Culture or non-culture products become the determining element of cultural life everywhere, with sounds and images recorded on floppy disks, indigenous cultures change their names and deny their own reality in the face of forms of make-up, packaged and recognized. Most of the world indicators production is concentrated in the North or produced in places controlled by it according to its norms and styles. The news market, which has an important place in global homogenization, is under the monopoly of American Associated Press and United Press, British Reuters. French Presse. In the globalization process, it is possible to say that a large part of the world is under the influence of the West especially American culture.

Just as geolocations and evaluations are made according to Greenwich in international relations, they are Western-based assessments that determine their perspectives in social science. Even Japanese-style management is referred to in the summer with its American-style management style. It can be said that with the effect of globalization, societies are in an isomorphism no matter what cultural environment they live in and at least they are structurally similar. This is in a way a result of adaptation theories. It has a fundamental dilemma in terms of globalization (Gökbunar, 1999). On the one hand, it emphasizes cultural differences, on the other hand, it brings organizations closer together and provides simulation (isomorphism).

### **Building a Worldwide Civilization**

Globalization and accompanying developments in communication and information technologies have made societies more generally sensitive to human and even animal rights, generally living rights. In this context, there are three important developments brought about by globalization. The first of these; With the increase in the speed of access of information to individuals, hierarchy perceptions in the social order have changed and individuals have taken on a more questioning, criticizing and opposing identity when necessary. The harsh protests were replaced by peaceful actions and demonstrations in direct proportion to the development levels of the countries. Secondly, the system understanding, and the closed system understanding are abandoned, and states need more cooperation in their activities. States are no longer able to persuade peoples only through print media or official statements made by the state. Because today, anyone with a smartphone and internet connection can function as a news reporter and anyone with an idea. In fact, in the social media networks where completely free market conditions and the law of supply and demand are processed, the views and views of people whose opinions are consistent and accurate are higher than the classical media. Third, the views on human rights, human values, personality concept, utilization of creativity of people, providing financial, spiritual and social needs.

An important change brought by globalization is that it prepares the ground for the development of a more participatory, more human-oriented, lean management model rather than a business-oriented hierarchical management approach that prioritizes quality. This change in the labor market naturally causes people to make freer and humanitarian demands in their private lives and expectations. The change that started in developed countries with this aspect spreads to the whole world through social media with the effect of a domino. This movement naturally creates a population movement from undeveloped, backward countries to developed civilized countries due to the "unified container theory" which is essentially a thermodynamic law.

Today, people do not want to exhaust their lives in countries where autocratic and excessive bureaucratic management concepts are dominant, and people become worthless. In ancient times, people fled to the cities due to the persecution of the ruthless landowners. Now they must leave their countries and their homeland. It is the peak of burnout and desperation that human communities escape from their countries without looking behind and even die, and their demands for asylum and immigration to developed countries. People want

to make their voices heard more. People today have more awareness of who inherited poverty, human rights violations, endless conflicts and wars in their countries, who work for them, why they do not end. Therefore, today people demand a life on equal terms and conditions with all the peoples of the world. There are demands for a fair, safe and peaceful life, such as equal opportunities for all, job security, equal pay for labor and respect for labor, the right to be educated, a peaceful working environment, the right to organize, and the right to retirement.

The primary reason for migration movements from backward countries to developed countries is not that people are poor. Because even poverty is a result, though. The primary factor that forces individuals and communities to migrate is the loss of hopes for the future. What consumes people's hope; Conflicts and wars, whose head, middle, end is uncertain, have become a part of life now, without winners, are the brothers who shed constantly. The most important motivation of the immigrants is that they do not want to be a part of the injustices and cruelties that are mounted on the wheels of the system, they are not willing to shed the brothers, they do not want to be acknowledged with the people who lack the character and humanity, who do not want to be apologized from them, and they do not want to be even apologized. they don't want to stay.

The presence of value, purpose and ideal relations between its structure and its members and its cooperation form the basis of the spirit of unity and solidarity. The relationship between the society and the individual should be sought within the psychological contract that will reinforce the sense of partnership. For the construction of a world civilization, the issue that individuals and societies should prioritize more than their perceptions about their belonging and identity should be the bond they establish with their environment and other people, even if they are from other countries. Being part of a society is of course important. Every society and nation are proud of its ancestors, the success of the society it belongs to makes it proud. However, belonging to a society should not blind people's eyes, because the healthy individual is the individual who is at peace with himself and then with other beings and his environment (Matzka, 2009: 43). This is essentially emotional energy and an unselfish correlation of attention.

Everyone is the product of different cultural and social conditions. Everyone has different cultural values and different emotional personal characteristics. Age, race, gender, ethnicity, physiological abilities, individuals' upbringing and growing environments have an important place in shaping people's spirit worlds. No one can be expected to leave their cultural fabric. Civilization is not a set of norms that try to shape all people on the same plane. On the contrary, civilization is a mosaic in which all the different values come together.

No matter how high-quality materials are produced, the value of a fabricated product can never be more valuable than a home-made, meticulous work. There are no geometric shapes in nature, you cannot see a cube-shaped fish, a triangle-shaped bird, a square-shaped tree. Shaping individuals and societies means excluding and alienating them. When individuals think that their liberty and values are restricted or humiliated or ignored, they have a feeling of conflict. Because of their regime, no society can be humiliated or ignored.

World becomes civilized by the glorification of the peoples' valuable assets. In this sense, all societies should be supported both financially, technically and psychologically in order to establish equality and justice and a just life in the world. Even though the purpose of creation and the value of its existence could not be understood, it means that the sensitivity shown for an extinct creature is not shown to the humankind, who, as a result of his understanding of inequality, injustice and colonialism, is downright low. For this, the mankind should open his eyes, broaden his perspective, and be freed by getting rid of all the shackles and prejudices that convict him.

Cultural differences are a set of values that accelerate the development of human civilization. In terms of human civilization, culture is an important measure of value, both in sharing a climate that people breathe in and in the realization and determination of emotions, behaviors and practices. The important point in terms of world civilization is the feature of being a locomotive of positive development and progress for the world in a value-creating cultural environment. In this sense, the existence of a cultural richness that can be established with the meeting of today's cultures is indispensable for the construction of world

civilization. World civilization reject's conservatism.

There will of course be population movements in the globalizing world, even free movement is a demand for human rights. What makes a society successful is not alone, technology, system, natural resources, etc. (Aycan and Kanungo, 2000), what makes communities successful and even beneficial and technological development is essentially social harmony, common sense, virtue as a dominant paradigm, Honesty, tolerance are a number of glories that are glorified by the society, namely, formed nation culture. No matter how well-educated and qualified manpower is, no society can succeed in another society that it does not feel peaceful and host, cannot establish a bond of affection, do not count on itself. Every individual wants to be appreciated. Every person is precious. Social culture expresses the priorities and values of a nation (Guvenc, 1974).

## Conclusion and Discussion

As a result, everything happens in the human brain and perception. People's opinions about themselves or others can never be independent of prejudice and opinion. The meeting of world civilizations is essentially an absolute must for all peoples of the world in terms of establishing joint peace and tranquility. The alliance of civilizations should not be sacrificed to the political ambitions and populist, opportunist politics and discourses of the tyrannists who hide themselves behind religions.

World civilization; It can be established by sharing the glorified common world cultural heritage, not by the domination of the patterns of thought, cultural values or religious arguments of civilization basins, as a result of the struggles of superiority among themselves. World civilization is possible by combining all the values of world culture in a pool without any discrimination. World civilization is about the integration of all cultural phenomena that contribute to the world civilization by preserving their essence rather than melting them in a pot.

The concept of world civilization does not reject the religious and cultural backgrounds of societies, it is not integrative, but integrative, respects all religious and cultural traditions and customs, and refers to all established institutions. The phenomenon of modernization rejects an elitist world image in which the strong oppresses the weak and minorities are ignored. World civilization takes its power from its roots, all the ceremonies, languages, beliefs and institutions belonging to the peoples of the world are components of the common world heritage. World civilization envisages a vision of a heterogeneous society that, above all, respects different views, coexists, and maturity of the world's riches. It describes the construction of a collective and universal set of values, based on world civilization, free society, advanced democracy, a complete separation of powers and the rule of law, which has adopted the struggle against imperialism.

Today's Europe is a pioneering pluralist, the cradle of democracy, and is home to an advanced civilization, learned from the devastating effects of the bloody wars between each other. At this point, Europe is representative of a common world civilization heritage that respects more common living cultures and differences than all other world states, praises coexistence, refuses intercultural superiority considerations and othering, and combines world civilizations by rasping the extremes.

One of the most important proofs of this understanding is the successes of the integration of the migrant workers who come to Europe as the representatives of the lowest classes of their countries socioeconomically, with the dominant values and norms of their country, without being assimilated and demanded to be assimilated. that is, miracles of integration. Einstein said, "It is more difficult to break down prejudices than to disintegrate the atom, he says (Kuhn, 1982).

## Bibliography

Aslanoğlu, Rana (1998). Kent, Kimlik ve Küreselleşme, Asa Yayınları, Bursa.

Aycan, Z. & Kanungo, R., (2000). "Toplumsal Kültürün Kurumsal Kültür ve İnsan Kaynakları Uygulamalarına Etkileri", Türkiye'de Yönetim, Liderlik ve İnsan Kaynakları Uygulamaları, Türk Psikologlar Derneği Yayınları, Ankara.

Bakırtaş, Tahsin & Kandemir, Orhan, (2010). Gelişmekte Olan Ülkeler ve Beyin Göçü: Türkiye Örneği. Kastamonu Eğitim Dergisi. Cilt:18 No:3, pp.: 961-974

Canatan, Kadir, (1990). Göçmenlerin Kimlik Arayışı. Endülüs Yayınları, İstanbul.

Canatan, Kadir, (1995). Avrupa'da Müslüman Azınlıklar, İnsan Yayınları, İstanbul.

Canatan, Kadir, (2009). Avrupa Toplumlarında Çok Kültürcülük: Sosyolojik Bir Yaklaşım. Uluslararası Sosyal Araştırmalar Dergisi, Volume: 2, Number: 6.

Castles, Steven. & Miller, Mark. J. (2008). Göçler Çağı: Modern Dünyada Uluslararası Göç Hareketleri. İstanbul Bilgi Üniversitesi Yayınları, İstanbul.

Chomsky, Noam (1996). World Orders Old and New, Columbia University Press.

Dlbet F (2008). Göç, William Outhwaite (ed), Modern Toplumsal Düşünce Sözlüğü, Çev: Melih Pekdemir, İletişim Yayınları, pp.: 314-315, İstanbul.

Erder, Sema, (2008). 'Düzensiz' Göç, Göçmen Korkusu ve Çelişen Tepkiler. Kitap Yayınevi, pp.:41-54, İstanbul.

Erkal, Mustafa (1982). Sosyoloji (Toplum Bilim), K.Ü. İktisadi ve İdari Bilimler Fakültesi Yayınları, Trabzon.

Eroğlu, Feyzullah (1982). "Türk Kültüründe Motivasyon" Atatürk Üniversitesi İ.İ.B.F. Araştırma Merkezi İşletme Dergisi, Volume. 5, Number: 3-4, Erzurum.

Freidman, Thomas (1999). The Lexus and the Olive Tree: Understanding Globalization, New York, Farrar, Straus.

Gelekçi, Cahit & Köse, Ali, (2011). Misafir İşçilikten Etnik Azınlığa: Belçika'daki Türkler. Phoenix Yayınevi, Ankara.

Gezgin, Mehmet F., (1994). İşgücü Göçü ve Avusturya'daki Türk İşçileri. İstanbul Üniversitesi Yayınları, İstanbul.

Giddens, Anthony, (2008). Sosyoloji. Cemal Güzel (transltor), Kırmızı Yayınları, Ankara.

Gökbunar, Ramazan & Aylin, Gülgün ÜNAL (1999). Yönetimde Dinazorlaşma Sendromu ve Kamu Yönetiminde Değişim, İktisadi ve İdari Bilimler Dergisi, Volume: 13, Number: 1

Güllüpinar, Fuat (2012). Göç Olgusunun Ekonomi-Politigi ve Uluslararası Göç Kuramları Üzerine Bir Değerlendirme. Yalova Sosyal Bilimler Dergisi, Sayı :4 /Nisan – Eylül 2012, pp.: 53-85.

Güvenç, Bozkurt, (1974). İnsan ve Kültür. Remzi Kitabevi, İstanbul.

Heckman, Friedrich (1997). Göçmenlerin Almanya'da Ulusal Kimliği ve Entegrasyonu. Körber-Stiftung, Türk-Alman Sempozyumu, pp.:279-284, Hamburg.

İçduygu, Ahmet, (2008). Türkiye'de Uluslararası Göçün Siyasal Arka planı: Küreselleşen Dünyada Ulus-Devletin İnşa Etmek ve Korumak. Kitap Yayınevi, pp.:17-40, İstanbul.

Kuhn, S. Thomas (1982). Bilimsel Devrimlerin Yapısı, Alan Yayıncılık, İstanbul

Kutaniş, Rana Özen (2010). Örgüt Kültürü Ders Notları, Sakarya Yayıncılık, Sakarya

Matzka, Christian (2009). Austria and Turkey: Their Burden of Histories, Universität Wien.

Öztürk, Meltem, (2011). Avrupa Birliği Göç Politikaları: Almanya'daki Türk Göçleri. İstanbul Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Enstitüsü, İstanbul.

Panikos, Panayi (1999). Outsiders: A History of European Minorities, Continuum International Publishing, pp.: 145

Sargut, A.S., (2001). Kùltürler Arası Farklılaşma ve Yönetim, Ankara, İmge Yayınevi.

Yalçın, Cemal, (2002). Çok kùltürlülük Bağlamında Türkiye’den Batı Avrupa’ya Göç. Cumhuriyet Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Dergisi, Volume: 1, Number: 26,

Yalçın, Cemal, (2004). Göç Sosyolojisi. Anı Yayıncılık, Ankara.

Yarma, Zübeyda (2006). Avrupa Ülkelerindeki Türk Kökenli Milletvekillerinin Siyasal Kimlik ve Elit Oluşumu: Almanya Örneği.. Kocaeli Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Enstitüsü, Kocaeli. Yayınları, İstanbul.

Yazgan, Pınar, (2010). Danimarka’daki Türkiye Kökenli Göçmenlerin Aidiyet ve Kimlikleri. Sakarya Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Enstitüsü, Sakarya.

Yılmaz, Bülent, (2010). “Integration und Migration als Sprachproblem”: Zur Situation türkischer Migranten und Migrantinnen in Österreich. Magisterarbeit, Publizistik und Kommunikationswissenschaft der Universität Wien.

Yılmaz, Fatma, (2008). Avrupa’da Irkçılık ve Yabancı Düşmanlığı, USAK Yayınları,