Studying the relationship between anxiety and school achievement: evidence from PISA data

Antonella D'agostino¹, Francesco Schirripa Spagnolo², and Nicola Salvati¹

¹Affiliation not available ²Dipartimento di Studi Aziendali e Quantitativi

June 11, 2020

Abstract

We analyze the PISA 2015 data for Italy using an M-quantile multilevel approach. This papers offers a complete overview of the relationship between test anxiety and school performance by studying how anxiety affects the performance of students along the overall conditional distribution of mathematics, literature and science scores.

Studying the relationship between anxiety and school achievement: evidence from PISA data

Antonella D'Agostino¹, Francesco Schirripa Spagnolo², and Nicola Salvati²

¹Dipartimento di Studi Aziendali e Quantitativi, Università di Napoli "Parthenope"

²Dipartimento di Economia e Management, Università di Pisa

Abstract

Using the PISA 2015 data for Italy, this paper offers a complete overview of the relationship between test anxiety and school performance by studying how anxiety affects the performance of students along the overall conditional distribution of mathematics, literature and science scores. We aim to indirectly measure whether higher goals increase test anxiety, starting from the hypothesis that high-skilled students generally set themselves high goals. We use an M-quantile regression approach that allows us to take into account the hierarchical structure and sampling weights of the PISA data. There is evidence of a negative and statistically significant relationship between test anxiety and school performance. The size of the estimated effect is greater at the upper tail of the distribution of each score than at the lower tail. Therefore, our results suggest that high-performing students are more affected than low-performing students by emotional reactions to tests and school-work anxiety.

Keywords: Test anxiety, School achievement, M-quantile regression, Sampling weights, Multilevel modelling

1 Introduction

Anxiety is an unpleasant emotional experience that any person can encounter in his/her life (Gregor, 2005). Generally, anxiety is defined by psychologists as a feeling of tension, fear or apprehension that excites the body system above normal functioning capacity and negatively impacts individual performance (Coon and Mitterer, 2008).

Test anxiety, in particular, refers to the specific issue of anxiety related to achieving high test scores in educational careers (Chapell et al., 2005; Miller and Bichsel, 2004). Since test scores are crucial for student evaluation at school, test anxiety has become a universal issue in contemporary society. Empirical evidence has shown an inverse relationship between test anxiety and school achievement (see, among others, Everson et al., 1991; Nadeem et al., 2012). More specifically, Syokwaa et al. (2014) stressed "...when a student is too anxious. his/her concentration tends to focus too much on his/her anxiety build-up process to the extent that he/she loses focus of the task at hand". Other researchers also stated that performance goals interfere with test anxiety, namely, higher goals increase test anxiety due to the fear of failure (Elliot et al., 1999; Carver and Scheier, 1994; Kandemir, 2013). Despite the relevance of this issue, in Italy, a comprehensive study at the national level that attempts to assess and measure the impact of test anxiety on school performance is still lacking. There are some empirical studies at the local level, and most of them refer to math anxiety, which is a distinct subtype of anxiety that can be experienced even in the absence of both general and test anxiety (Hembree, 1990; Cargnelutti et al., 2017). As stressed by Ramirez et al. (2013), a measure of math anxiety is not related to children's other learning abilities, such as reading achievement; consequently, it cannot be considered a proxy for general academic anxiety. For this reason, the general index of anxiety provided in the 2015 wave of the Programme for International Students Assessment (PISA) survey (OECD, 2016a) allows us to overcome this limitation common to previous studies and provide a more complete overview of the relationship between anxiety and school performance by studying how that index affect the students' score in three areas of study, namely, math, science and reading. Moreover, even if there are several empirical studies at the international level in this framework, the research in the field is mainly focused (albeit with some exceptions; see, for example, Satake and Amato (1995) or Ramirez et al. (2013)) on assessing the effect of anxiety on math performance using standard regression approaches or simple hypothesis testing, with the findings valid mainly around the centre of the distribution of math scores. As a consequence, these findings do not allow us to distinguish the different effects that test anxiety may have among high-performing and low-performing students.

Therefore, starting from these premises, the objective of this paper is twofold. First, our aim is to deeply investigate the relationship between test anxiety and school performance (measured by the scores obtained in math, science and literature) in Italy, controlling for other individual and contextual factors (such as school environment) that are also recognised to have an influence on school performance (see, among others, Agasisti and Vittadini, 2012; Azzolini et al., 2012; Bratti et al., 2007; Longobardi et al., 2018; Masci et al., 2016; Matteucci and Mignani, 2014). Second, we empirically test whether the negative impact of test anxiety increases as students' skill increases. In this manner, we indirectly also measure whether higher goals increase test anxiety, starting from the hypothesis that high-skilled students generally have high goals. To this end, we implement an M-quantile regression model that is well suited to the study of this relationship, as explained later in Section 3.3. In particular, we use the W-MQRE regression introduced by Schirripa Spagnolo et al. (2020), which allows us to take into account the hierarchical structure and sampling weights of PISA-OECD 2015 data.

The findings represent an attempt to generalize the relationship between test anxiety and school performance at the Italian national level. They quantify the effect of test anxiety along the overall conditional distribution (namely, at different student skill levels once other crucial individual and contextual factors are controlled for) of math, literature and science scores.

In summary, this analysis also attempts to contribute to the current literature by addressing an obstacle that might undermine students' lifelong learning and thus can help inform the implementation of specific educational actions aimed at reducing the negative impact of test anxiety. The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we review the main findings on the relationship between test anxiety and test scores. A general description of the data, variables and methods is provided in Section 3. The main results are discussed in Section 4. Some final remarks are given at the end of the paper.

2 Literature review

In the last decade, numerous studies have investigated the relationship between the level of test anxiety and student performance. These studies have mainly focused on a specific issue of anxiety known as math anxiety, which refers to some form of discomfort observed while students work on mathematical problems (Mutodi and Ngirande, 2014; Dowker et al., 2016). In fact, anxiety is recognised as one of the most important predictors of student performance, with a negatively effect on student achievement. This negative relationship was confirmed in a meta-analysis study by Hembree (1990), who focused on math anxiety and concluded that a higher level of math anxiety decreases performance in mathematics. Other, more recent papers have also focused on math anxiety. McDonald (2001) reviewed the literature on the effects of test anxiety on children in compulsory education and found that test anxiety is widespread among students; therefore, this issue relates to substantial detrimental effects on test performance.

In addition, Núñez-Peña et al. (2013), among others, demonstrated that math anxiety is the most influential factor in explaining the lower performance of students in a specific course at the University of Barcelona; these authors also showed that students who failed the course had higher mathematical anxiety than those who passed the course. Núñez-Peña et al. (2016) confirmed this negative relationship when concentrating on gender differences, showing that females had a higher level of test anxiety than males but that the gender difference in anxiety does not affect exam grades.

The gender issue was also studied by Devine et al. (2012) and Jolejole-Caube et al. (2019). In the first study, the results demonstrated among a sample of British secondary school children that the levels of math anxiety and test anxiety were higher for girls than for boys, while regression analyses demonstrated that math anxiety was a significant predictor of performance for girls but not for boys. In the latter study, the authors implemented an empirical analysis in the Philippines during the second quarter of the 2017–2018 school year. They found a statistically significant negative association between learners' level of anxiety and mathematics performance, and this association was stronger for male learners than for their female counterparts. However, Payne et al. (1983), in a sample of students in US, found that the correlation between test anxiety and performance in science was the same for girls and boys.

Furthermore, Van Mier et al. (2019) studied the relation between math anxiety and math performance in young children in the Netherlands and found that math anxiety was more pronounced in women than in men. A more general study by Syokwaa et al. (2014) confirmed a negative relationship between anxiety level and academic achievement in select secondary schools in Kenva. Nadeem et al. (2012) obtained the same results for a sample of Pakistani students. Martinčić (2019) studied the relationship between math anxiety, math attitudes and math performance by comparing Italian and Croatian 3rd and 5th graders. Although the overall negative relationship between achievement and anxiety has been well established (see also Zhang et al., 2019), some studies asserted that anxiety might have more serious consequences for high-achieving students because these students likely have higher expectations and/or higher potentialities. Satake and Amato (1995) found an inverse relationship between math anxiety and achievement among Japanese elementary-school children; moreover, they showed that high achievers reported higher levels of anxiety than low achievers because

high achievers are under great pressure to enter more prestigious middle schools.

More recently, the work of Ramirez et al. (2013) explored the relationship between math anxiety and math achievement among first- and second-grade schoolchildren in the United States, finding a negative relationship between math anxiety and math achievement only among children with high working memory, likely to also be the students who had the greatest potential for high achievement. Furthermore, Kandemir (2013) found in a sample of students preparing for university exams in Ankara that anxiety increases as perfectionist characteristics and performance goals increase.

Regarding Italy, to the best of our knowledge, empirical evidence on this issue is quite limited and basically related to specific local contexts. The relationship between school performance and anxiety has been studied by Mazzone et al. (2007), who analysed a sample of children and adolescents attending elementary and high school in the city of Catania. The findings showed that children with a high level of anxiety are more likely to have insufficient school grades than children with a low level of anxiety, and this effect is much more significant among high school students. Hill et al. (2016) confirmed the negative relationship between math anxiety and students' math performance in a sample of approximately one thousand students attending primary and secondary school. Passolunghi et al. (2016) showed that sixthand eighth-grade students who had high math anxiety levels also had lower mathematics achievement and lower scores on working memory performance than children with low levels of math anxiety. Cargnelutti et al. (2017) studied whether anxiety is related to math performance among young students using a longitudinal study of children in Grades 2 to 3 in Italy.

However, all the previously mentioned studies have common traits, namely, in addition to the anxiety-performance relationship being analysed in a local context, it is also assessed only for a single institution or for a small group of schools or universities. Consequently, the studies often cannot provide a complete overview of the phenomenon at a national level. Recently, the wide-ranging discussion and debate on the relationship between educational performance and anxiety have been addressed using the PISA survey. However, the existing papers on this issue have studied anxiety related to mathematics because until 2012, only a math anxiety index (that is, students' feelings of helplessness and stress when dealing with mathematics) was measured. In particular, Lee (2009) studied how three math self-constructs (math self-concept, math self-efficacy, and math anxiety) affect math performance across 41 PISA 2003-participating countries. The findings stressed that in European countries, the association between math anxiety and mathematics performance was higher than in Asian countries. Thien and Ong (2015) analysed the effects of affective characteristics (motivation, self-efficacy and anxiety) on math performance in Malaysia and Singapore using PISA 2012 data. They found that mathematics anxiety had a significant and negative effect in both countries.

Kalaycioglu (2015) used structural equation modeling to study the predictors of mathematics achievement on a sample of students from England, Greece, Hong Kong, the Netherlands, Turkey, and the USA who participated in PISA 2012. She found that the relationship between math anxiety and mathematics achievement was significant only in countries that had low mathematics achievement (Turkey, Greece, and the USA). Foley et al. (2017) found that students reporting higher levels of math anxiety had lower levels of math performance than their peers who reported lower levels of math anxiety. Moreover, they also found that countries with higher scores had lower levels of country-level math anxiety. Finally, OECD (2013a) reported that anxiety was associated with a higher decrease in performance among high-skilled students than among low-skilled students.

3 Data, variables and method

3.1 Data

The data used for this study come from the 2015 wave of the Programme for International Student Assessment (PISA). This survey is promoted by the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), and it was first performed in 2000 and then repeated every three years to test the knowledge of 15-year-old students around the world in reading, science and mathematics. Over the past decade, PISA has become the most important and largest survey for evaluating the quality, equity and efficiency of school systems. In 2015, approximately 540,000 students completed the assessment, representing approximately 29 million 15-year-old students in the schools of the 72 participating countries and economies. In particular, our analysis is based only on students enrolled in public upper-secondary schools in Italy, corresponding to the 3rd level of the International Standard Classification of Education (ISCED). This choice is determined by the fact that the uppersecondary level of education is considered a crucial indicator of the output of the educational system of a country (OECD, 2003). The data are characterized by a hierarchical structure (Goldstein, 2011). Consequently, our estimation sample is composed of 7142 students with non-missing response variables and covariate information. The 7142 students are grouped into 283

schools.

3.2 Variables

The empirical analysis was based on non-experimental, exploratory correlational models. The aim was to study the relationship between a main predictor variable (the test anxiety indicator) and three response variables measuring math, reading and science achievement, controlling for other predictor factors that have a known influence on academic achievement. In this section, we describe in detail the variables used.

The response variables in this paper are the student scores in mathematics, science and reading. In PISA 2015, 10 predictions of the student score, known as plausible values (PV), are provided (for more details about the methodology, see OECD, 2017). We analyse all plausible values by using multiple imputation (MI) formulas (Rubin, 2004) to obtain correct standard errors.

The main predictor of this study is the *index of schoolwork anxiety* provided in the 2015 PISA data. This index was computed by measuring students' cognitive and emotional reactions to schoolwork and testing. First, students were assessed through the following 5 statements: "I often worry that it will be difficult for me to take a test"; "I worry I will get poor grades at school"; "I feel very anxious even if I am well prepared for a test"; "I get very tense when I study for a test"; and "I get nervous when I do not know how to solve a task at school". Answers were measured on a four-point Likert scale with the following categories: strongly agree, agree, disagree or strongly disagree. Second, the item response theory (namely, the generalized partial credit model (GPCM); cf. OECD, 2017) scaling methodology was used to construct the final index of anxiety. The index is standardized to have a mean of 0 and a standard deviation of 1 across OECD countries. Positive values indicate that students had higher levels of schoolwork-related anxiety than the average student across OECD countries, and negative values indicate that students reported lower levels of anxiety than the average student (OECD, 2016b). In our sample, the average level of anxiety is equal to 0.48 (cf. Table 1), which is higher than that of other OECD countries (OECD, 2016b). As control variables, we used i) gender (ref. male); ii) immigration status (ref. native, namely, students whose mother or father (or both) were born in the country where the PISA test is administered); iii) grade repetition (ref. no repeated grades at any level); iii) arriving late to school (ref. no late arrival to school in the two weeks before the test); iv) socio-economic status; v) proxy for ambition level of the student (ref.

not ambitious); vi) the type of school (lyceums vs other schools); and vii) the region (North (baseline) vs South). Both theoretical and empirical considerations drove the choice of this set of control variables. The empirical considerations are mainly related to avoiding multicollinearity issues in the regression models, while the theoretical considerations may be summarized as follows. Gender differences in school performance in Italy have been confirmed by several studies (see, among others, Grilli et al., 2016; Bratti et al., 2007; Giambona and Porcu, 2015; Contini et al., 2017); in particular, boys tend to outperform girls in mathematics and science, whereas girls tend to outperform boys in reading (Invalsi, 2016). It is also interesting to note that we also tested whether the interaction term between gender and text anxiety was significantly different from zero across quantiles. However, we did not find any significant effect; therefore, we did not include this term in our model. The educational integration of immigrant children is a fundamental step for the economic assimilation of immigrants in host societies (Schneeweis, 2011). However, PISA data reveal that children with an immigrant background face enormous challenges in school, and in particular, Azzolini et al. (2012) demonstrated that in Italy, a highly significant gap between immigrants and native students persists even after controlling for family-level variables and allowing for school random effects. Ever since the report of Coleman et al. (1966), educational researchers have acknowledged the importance of students' socio-economic status in determining their educational achievement. In our analysis, we include the index of economic, social and cultural status (ESCS) as measured in PISA.

The ESCS scale has been transformed such that zero is the score of an average OECD student and one is the standard deviation across equally weighted OECD countries. Higher values indicate a higher socio-economic status (OECD, 2016b). The average ESCS value in Italy is negative, highlighting a worse socio-economic condition than the OECD average. We also control for grade repetition among students. Indeed, retained students are more likely to drop out, stay longer in the school system, and spend less time in the labour force (OECD, 2013b). Additionally, students who arrive late to school may miss out on learning opportunities, negatively affecting their performance (OECD, 2013a). The aspirations and expectations of students may influence their school achievement (Khattab, 2015; Gorard et al., 2012). As a proxy for students' ambition, we considered whether they wanted to achieve top grades in most of the courses they were attending (no (baseline) or yes).

Many studies (see, among others, Agasisti and Vittadini, 2012; Bratti et al., 2007; Checchi, 2004) have demonstrated that students attending schools

located in Northern and Central Italy perform better than those in Southern regions. The type of school is another determinant of student achievement (see, among others, Bratti et al., 2007). On the basis of the kind of education provided, the type of school has been recoded into two categories: lyceums (baseline), which provide academically oriented education, and other types of schools, which provide technically oriented education.

Moreover, we add in the model the school mean of the following individuallevel variables: gender, immigration status, grade repetition, arriving late at school, and socio-economic status. However, we do not include the school mean of the index of schoolwork-related anxiety and the school mean of the proxy for ambition because they are not significant in all quantiles on the three scores. These variables can be viewed as proxies of the social context of the school and allow us to estimate peer effects, namely, how the student is affected by the characteristics of other pupils in the same school (Schneeweis and Winter-Ebmer, 2007; Dumay and Dupriez, 2008).

Table 1 describes the sample by reporting descriptive statistics for the outcomes and regressors.

Variable	Min	$1^{st}\mathbf{Q}$	Median	Mean	$3^{rd}\mathbf{Q}$	Max.
SCIENCE	120.42	425.73	493.28	489.48	544.69	803.30
MATHEMATICS	140.80	432.16	498.19	496.39	560.72	822.64
READING	168.38	435.94	500.51	496.14	560.97	775.59
ESCS	-2.99	-0.70	-0.04	-0.05	0.66	3.56
Anxiety index	-2.51	-0.08	0.52	0.48	1.05	2.55
Female				0.51		
Immigrant				0.07		
Grade repetition				0.13		
Lack of punctuality				0.35		
South Italy				0.44		
Lyceum				0.42		
Mean gender				0.50		
Mean Immigrant status				0.09		
Mean Grade repetition				0.16		
Mean Lack of punctuality				0.36		
Mean ESCS				-0.20		
Number of units	7142					
Number of clusters	283					

Table 1: Descriptive statistics of the outcome variable and covariates

3.3 Methods

Educational data have a natural hierarchical structure, namely, pupils are nested into schools. This data structure creates dependence between observations (students) belonging to the same group (i.e., schools), and econometric models must take into account such dependence to obtain reliable results. In particular, failing to recognise a hierarchical data structure involves the underestimation of the standard errors of regression coefficients, leading to overstatements of statistical significance. For this reason, empirical studies based on PISA usually use multilevel modelling (Goldstein, 2011; OECD, 2013c).

However, classical multilevel models estimate an average relationship between the output variable and the explanatory variables. In other words, classical multilevel models allow us to estimate only the average effect of anxiety on students' achievement in math, literature and science. For this reason, in this paper, we use the M-quantile random effects regression model (MQRE-2L) proposed by Tzavidis et al. (2016) and adapted to complex survey designs by Schirripa Spagnolo et al. (2020), henceforth model W-MQRE. The W-MQRE allows us to estimate the effect of test anxiety at different quantiles and therefore to test whether anxiety has a greater impact on high-performing than on low-performing students.

Therefore, we have a two-level population with M schools (clusters) and N_j level-1 units (students) within the *j*th school. Suppose that the whole population is not observed and the sampled data are selected with the following two-stage sampling design: at the first stage, m schools are selected with inclusion probabilities π_j , $j = 1, \ldots, M$, and at the second stage, n students are selected within the *j*th sampled school with conditional probabilities $\pi_{(i|j)}$, $i = 1, \ldots, N_j$.

Let y_{ij} be the response variable for the *i*th student and the *j*th school. Three different M-quantile random intercept models are estimated using the math, reading and science scores as follows:

$$MQ_{y_{ij}}(q|\mathbf{x}_{ij},\boldsymbol{\gamma}_j;\psi) = I_{ij}\beta_{I;\psi q} + \mathbf{x}_{ij}^T\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\psi q} + \mathbf{z}_j^T\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\psi q} + \boldsymbol{\gamma}_j + e_{ij}, \qquad (1)$$

where I_{ij} is the anxiety indicator, $\beta_{I;\psi q}$ is the associated regression coefficient at each M-quantile q, \mathbf{x}_{ij}^T is the covariate-vector of the previously described student-level control variables, \mathbf{z}_j^T is the vector of school-level covariates, $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\psi q}$ and $\alpha_{\psi q}$ are the vectors of the regression parameters for each M-quantile q, $q \in (0, 1)$, and for a chosen influence function ψ_q for student- and schoollevel variables, $\boldsymbol{\gamma}_j$ is the vector of group-specific random effects and e_{ij} is the individual random effect.

Interpretation of the regression coefficients is straightforward: each parameter estimates the change in a specified q-quantile of the target variable produced by a one-unit change in the corresponding covariate (the others are fixed). In the following, we estimate an M-quantile random intercept model with random intercepts at the school level and with the student- and school-level weights provided in the PISA 2015 database. The model is estimated for each score separately.

Robust prediction of random effects at the school level was proposed in Schirripa Spagnolo et al. (2020) following the idea of Tzavidis et al. (2016) based on the modified Fellner equation Fellner (1986).

4 Results

We fit three W-MQRE regression models using the math, reading and science scores as dependent variables. The results of the estimated models are presented in Tables 2, 3 and 4. Figure 1 instead summarizes in a graph the estimated coefficients of the anxiety index at each quantile for the three different scores.



Figure 1: Estimated effect of the anxiety index on mathematics (blue line), science (green line) and reading (red line)

When student- and school-level variables are controlled for, the general measure of anxiety remains very large across the whole distribution and has a significant and negative effect on student achievement regardless of the subject. Moreover, the impact of anxiety is higher at the upper tail of each score distribution than at the lower tail. Therefore, the results obtained suggest that high-performing students are more affected by emotional reactions to tests and school-work anxiety than low-performing students. The findings, therefore, confirm what has been previously stressed by the literature in this framework, as we highlighted in Section 2. However, some differences among the three scores exist. First, the anxiety coefficients for math and

science show faster decreases than those observed for reading. In general, the three lines do not appear to be parallel, even if the estimated coefficients in reading are always lower than those in math and science. Nevertheless, as expected, the profiles for math are very similar to those observed for science at each quantile. Accordingly, it seems reasonable to conclude that the effect of anxiety on reading is lower along the entire score distribution. In other words, underachievers and higher achievers in reading are less differentiated in terms of their general measures of anxiety, whereas in quantitative subjects (math and science), students appear to be more differentially influenced by anxiety.

The effects of the control variables (see Tables 2, 3 and 4) are as expected and offer further interesting results that we comment on here only briefly, as they are not the main focus of our analysis. Males outperform females in mathematics and science, while they underperform in reading relative to females. Therefore, even if individual- and school-level characteristics are controlled for, the gender gap in favour of boys is larger among good students in math and poor students in reading. As we expected, native students perform better than migrants on all three scores. The difference is significant at each quantile on mathematics and reading scores and increases as we move from the lowest to the highest quantile. Moreover, both the grade repetition and arriving late at school variables have a negative impact on school performance. However, the effect of socio-economic status varies across the three scores and is not always significant at each quantile. The results also confirm the gap between students attending schools located in the North and the South of Italy. The coefficient associated with the macro region is always significantly different from zero, and the effect size is greater along the mathematics distribution than for the other two scores.

Furthermore, the type of school has a determinant role. The effect of the share of females is negative at the upper parts of the conditional distribution of mathematics and science. The share of immigrants has a negative and decreasing effect on math and science, while the effect increases on reading score. The share of retained students (i.e., the average value of grade repetitions at the school level ("Mean repeat a grade")) does not affect performance in reading and science, but it negatively affects high-performing students in mathematics. The share of negligent students (i.e., the variable "Mean arriving late") has no impact on the low-achieving students. The effect of the school mean of socio-economic status is positive along the conditional distribution of the scores, and its decreasing effect suggests that low-performing students can improve their performance in more equal mixed schools.

The between-school and between-student variability, $\sigma_{\gamma_a}^2$ and $\sigma_{\varepsilon_a}^2$, respec-

	Results for the following value of q:					
Variable	0.1	0.25	0.5	0.75	0.9	
Intercept	468.94***	502.39***	543.14***	584.13^{***}	619.39^{***}	
Gender	-14.81^{***}	-14.71^{***}	-15.43^{***}	-17.06^{***}	-16.38^{***}	
Index of anxiety	-6.75^{***}	-7.47^{***}	-8.77^{***}	-10.45^{***}	-11.98^{***}	
Immigrant status	-6.75	-10.42	-13.88^{**}	-15.24^{**}	-16.58^{*}	
Repeat a grade	-36.26^{***}	-37.22^{***}	-34.88^{***}	-29.69^{***}	-27.97^{***}	
Arriving late	-11.53^{***}	-11.45^{***}	-12.02^{***}	-13.97^{***}	-15.52^{***}	
ESCS	1.78	3.22	4.37^{*}	5.69^{**}	7.49^{***}	
Ambition	4.55	5.56	7.03	8.80^{*}	10.30^{*}	
Mean gender	-9.36	-21.76	-37.66	-47.39^{**}	-52.83^{***}	
Mean immigrant status	-81.45^{***}	-60.30^{**}	-50.25^{**}	-54.32^{**}	-66.29^{**}	
Mean repeat a grade	-42.68	-43.75	-44.71	-47.21	-49.40	
Mean arriving late	-34.02	-38.23^{*}	-43.17^{**}	-44.62^{**}	-46.51^{**}	
Mean ESCS	60.19^{***}	54.45^{***}	45.67^{***}	36.70^{**}	29.05^{**}	
Lyceums	19.85	26.26^{*}	32.35^{**}	33.85^{***}	33.37***	
South Italy	-29.59^{***}	-30.26^{***}	-33.58^{***}	-36.95^{***}	-40.36^{***}	
σ_{ϵ}^2	1451.59	3138.81	4553.16	2964.38	1283.87	
σ_{γ}^2	169.46	523.34	788.27	400.90	111.25	
IĆC	0.10	0.14	0.15	0.12	0.08	
*** $p < 0.01;$ ** $p < 0.05;$ * $p < 0.1$						

Table 2: Results – Weighted-MQRE model for science scores

tively, tend to display an inverted-U curve for all scores. The proportion of variance explained by clustering, measured by the intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC), tends to be higher in the middle of the outcome distributions, with schools explaining less of the variability in the performance of low- and high-achieving students and explaining more of the variability for students in the middle of the distribution.

5 Conclusions

This exploratory-correlational study conducted with data from PISA 2015 and based on the W-MQRE regression model proposed by Schirripa Spagnolo et al. (2020) used student performance as the response variable and anxiety index as the main explanatory variable. Other individual and school characteristics are used as control factors in the econometric model. This analysis allows us to elucidate that anxiety plays a crucial role in test scores regardless of the specific area of study, and it negatively impacts individ-

	Results for the following value of q:				
Variable	0.1	0.25	0.5	0.75	0.9
Intercept	478.84***	509.82***	547.67***	588.33^{***}	625.68^{***}
Gender	-15.12^{***}	-16.58^{***}	-18.04^{***}	-18.46^{***}	-17.98^{***}
Index of anxiety	-6.09^{**}	-5.89^{**}	-6.51^{**}	-8.61^{***}	-10.71^{***}
Immigrant status	-17.30^{*}	-16.84^{**}	-17.00^{**}	-16.48^{**}	-16.80^{**}
Repeat a grade	-45.39^{***}	-45.92^{***}	-45.95^{***}	-43.74^{***}	-40.29^{***}
Arriving late	-9.32^{*}	-8.38^{**}	-8.75^{**}	-10.73^{***}	-12.28^{***}
ESCS	3.32	4.08	4.96^{**}	6.43^{**}	8.55^{***}
Ambition	11.70^{*}	11.59^{**}	12.35^{**}	14.89^{***}	17.50^{***}
Mean gender	-21.39	-26.30	-36.55	-49.49^{**}	-59.01^{***}
Mean immigrant status	-90.52^{**}	-78.43^{**}	-56.40^{**}	-55.82^{*}	-67.69^{*}
Mean repeat a grade	-62.76^{*}	-58.34^{*}	-62.84^{**}	-66.36^{**}	-70.26^{**}
Mean arriving late	-33.69	-30.83	-31.30	-34.73	-40.58^{*}
$\operatorname{Mean}\mathrm{ESCS}$	56.17^{***}	53.85^{***}	48.36^{***}	40.55^{***}	34.84^{**}
Lyceums	19.83	23.07^{*}	27.02^{**}	31.04^{***}	33.20^{***}
South Italy	-31.83^{***}	-32.29^{***}	-33.00^{***}	-35.10^{***}	-37.67^{***}
σ_{ε}^2	1586.82	3395.88	4607.88	2974.57	1392.40
σ_{γ}^2	147.93	468.38	728.39	386.58	124.70
IĊC	0.09	0.12	0.14	0.12	0.08

Table 3: Results – Weighted-MQRE model for mathematics scores

*** p < 0.01; ** p < 0.05; * p < 0.1

ual performance. In this respect, the negative effect of anxiety on academic achievement is confirmed. This study adds to the existing literature in this framework not only by assessing the effect of a general measure of anxiety on math, reading and science scores; the results further highlight that anxiety has a greater impact on good students. Indeed, as affirmed by Zeidner (1998), test anxiety cannot be explained by a lack of effort or poor exam performance because conscientious and highly motivated students also suffer from its debilitating impact. Consequently, it is reasonable that highperforming students are more worried about achieving poor grades and have a greater fear of failure than low-performing students. The logical conclusion is that anxiety likely leads to underestimation of the true ability of good students. In this respect, a deeper examination of such phenomena should be addressed in the future. As asserted by Kandemir (2013), test anxiety increases with the increase in perfectionist characteristics and performance goals. Coping with anxiety is essential to improving individual performance; moreover, considering that anxiety impacts good students more, reducing

	Results for the following value of q:					
Variable	0.1	0.25	0.5	0.75	0.9	
Intercept	444.72***	477.90***	518.58^{***}	559.38***	594.71***	
Gender	9.43^{*}	10.54^{**}	10.07^{***}	8.93^{**}	8.82^{*}	
Index of anxiety	-5.03^{**}	-5.73^{***}	-6.43^{***}	-7.93^{***}	-9.99^{***}	
Immigrant status	-23.15^{*}	-24.67^{**}	-26.03^{***}	-27.56^{***}	-31.05^{***}	
Repeat a grade	-43.34^{***}	-44.12^{***}	-42.39^{***}	-37.38^{***}	-32.23^{***}	
Arriving late	-11.32^{***}	-11.75^{***}	-11.89^{***}	-12.99^{***}	-14.49^{***}	
ESCS	7.63^{***}	7.95^{***}	8.21^{***}	8.91^{***}	9.69^{***}	
Ambition	5.95	6.82	7.20	8.95^{*}	10.57^{*}	
Mean gender	10.66	3.75	-6.72	-16.00	-20.58	
Mean immigrant status	-82.70^{**}	-70.77^{**}	-75.63^{**}	-91.69^{***}	-102.69^{***}	
Mean repeat a grade	-33.24	-39.72	-41.25	-39.08	-39.27	
Mean arriving late	-37.47	-37.83	-40.61^{*}	-40.34	-39.96	
Mean ESCS	52.72^{***}	47.66^{***}	40.81^{***}	33.83^{**}	29.51^{**}	
Lyceums	27.01^{**}	29.20^{**}	31.69^{**}	32.00^{***}	30.45^{**}	
South Italy	-26.01^{***}	-27.47^{***}	-29.51^{***}	-31.01^{***}	-32.28^{***}	
σ_{ϵ}^2	1522.65	3249.36	4644.57	3104.95	1426.72	
σ_{γ}^{2}	150.19	461.56	736.30	445.29	163.13	
IĆC	0.09	0.12	0.14	0.13	0.10	
*** $p < 0.01;$ ** $p < 0.05;$ * $p < 0.1$						

Table 4: Results – Weighted-MQRE model for reading scores

the level of anxiety may improve the general educational performance of a country overall. Teachers are key in reducing anxiety. It is fundamental for teachers to recognise that anxiety has a larger effect on the best-performing students and to give them adequate support to lessen it. More important than offering individual help is building good relationships with all students and improving the school environment. Additionally, parents can help children manage anxiety by encouraging them to have more faith in their ability to accomplish school tasks (OECD, 2016b).

References

- Agasisti T and Vittadini G (2012) Regional economic disparities as determinants of students' achievement in Italy. Research in Applied Economics 4(2): 33–54.
- Azzolini D, Schnell P and Palmer JR (2012) Educational achievement gaps

between immigrant and native students in two "new" immigration countries: Italy and spain in comparison. The Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science 643(1): 46-77.

- Bratti M, Checchi D and Filippin A (2007) Territorial differences in Italian students' mathematical competencies: Evidence from PISA 2003. Discussion paper, IZA.
- Cargnelutti E, Tomasetto C and Passolunghi MC (2017) How is anxiety related to math performance in young students? a longitudinal study of grade 2 to grade 3 children. *Cognition and Emotion* 31(4): 755-764.
- Carver CS and Scheier MF (1994) Situational coping and coping dispositions in a stressful transaction. Journal of personality and social psychology 66(1): 184.
- Chapell MS, Blanding ZB, Silverstein ME, Takahashi M, Newman B, Gubi A and McCann N (2005) Test anxiety and academic performance in undergraduate and graduate students. *Journal of educational Psychology* 97(2): 268.
- Checchi D (2004) Da dove vengono le competenze scolastiche? Stato e mercato 24(3): 413-454.
- Coleman J, Campbell E, Hobson C, Mcpartland J, Mood A, Weinfeldand F and York R (1966) Equality of educational opportunity. Technical report, DC, USA, Washington.
- Contini D, Di Tommaso ML and Mendolia S (2017) The gender gap in mathematics achievement: Evidence from Italian data. *Economics of Education Review*.
- Coon D and Mitterer JO (2008) Psychology : A Journey , Fourth Edition.
 3rd edition. Belmont, C.A., U.S.A.: Wadsworth Publishing.
- Devine A, Fawcett K, Szűcs D and Dowker A (2012) Gender differences in mathematics anxiety and the relation to mathematics performance while controlling for test anxiety. *Behavioral and brain functions* 8(33).
- Dowker A, Sarkar A and Looi CY (2016) Mathematics anxiety: What have we learned in 60 years? *Frontiers in psychology* 7(508).
- Dumay X and Dupriez V (2008) Does the school composition effect matter? evidence from Belgian data. British Journal of Educational Studies 56(4): 440-477.

- Elliot AJ, McGregor HA and Gable S (1999) Achievement goals, study strategies, and exam performance: A mediational analysis. *Journal of educational psychology* 91(3): 549.
- Everson HT, Millsap RE and Rodriguez CM (1991) Isolating gender differences in test anxiety: A confirmatory factor analysis of the test anxiety inventory. *Educational and Psychological Measurement* 51(1): 243–251.
- Fellner WH (1986) Robust estimation of variance components. *Technomet*rics 28(1): 51–60.
- Foley AE, Herts JB, Borgonovi F, Guerriero S, Levine SC and Beilock SL (2017) The math anxiety-performance link: A global phenomenon. Current Directions in Psychological Science 26(1): 52–58.
- Giambona F and Porcu M (2015) Student background determinants of reading achievement in italy. a quantile regression analysis. International Journal of Educational Development 44: 95 – 107.
- Goldstein H (2011) Multilevel statistical models. 4 edition. John Wiley & Sons.
- Gorard S, See BH and Davies P (2012) The impact of attitudes and aspirations on educational attainment and participation. Technical report, Joseph Rowntree Foundation.
- Gregor A (2005) Examination anxiety. School Psychology International 26(5): 617-635.
- Grilli L, Pennoni F, Rampichini C, Romeo I et al. (2016) Exploiting TIMSS and PIRLS combined data: multivariate multilevel modelling of student achievement. The Annals of Applied Statistics 10(4): 2405–2426.
- Hembree R (1990) The nature, effects, and relief of mathematics anxiety. Journal for research in mathematics education : 33-46.
- Hill F, Mammarella IC, Devine A, Caviola S, Passolunghi MC and Szűcs D (2016) Maths anxiety in primary and secondary school students: Gender differences, developmental changes and anxiety specificity. *Learning and Individual Differences* 48: 45–53.
- Invalsi (2016) Indagine OCSE PISA 2015. I risultati degli studenti italiani in Science, Matematica e Lettura. Invalsi.

- Jolejole-Caube C, Dumlao AB and Abocejo FT (2019) Anxiety towards mathematics and mathematics performance of grade 7 learners. *European Journal of Education Studies*.
- Kalaycioglu DB (2015) The influence of socioeconomic status, self-efficacy, and anxiety on mathematics achievement in England, Greece, Hong Kong, the Netherlands, Turkey, and the USA. *Educational Sciences: Theory and Practice* 15(5): 1391–1401.
- Kandemir M (2013) A model explaining test anxiety: Perfectionist personality traits and performance achievement goals. International Journal of Academic Research 5(5).
- Khattab N (2015) Students' aspirations, expectations and school achievement: what really matters? British Educational Research Journal 41(5): 731-748.
- Lee J (2009) Universals and specifics of math self-concept, math self-efficacy, and math anxiety across 41 PISA 2003 participating countries. *Learning and individual differences* 19(3): 355–365.
- Longobardi S, Pagliuca MM and Regoli A (2018) Can problem-solving attitudes explain the gender gap in financial literacy? evidence from italian students' data. *Quality & Quantity* 52: 1677–1705.
- Martinčić R (2019) The relationship between math anxiety, math attitudes and math performance a study on Italian and Croatian 3rd and 5th graders. Technical report, Università degli Studi di Trieste.
- Masci C, Ieva F, Agasisti T and M Paganoni A (2016) Bivariate multilevel models for the analysis of mathematics and reading pupils' achievements. *Journal of Applied Statistics* : 1–22.
- Matteucci M and Mignani S (2014) Exploring regional differences in the reading competencies of Italian students. *Evaluation review* 38(3): 251–290.
- Mazzone L, Ducci F, Scoto MC, Passaniti E, D'Arrigo VG and Vitiello B (2007) The role of anxiety symptoms in school performance in a community sample of children and adolescents. *BMC Public Health* 7(1): 347.
- McDonald AS (2001) The prevalence and effects of test anxiety in school children. *Educational psychology* 21(1): 89–101.

- Miller H and Bichsel J (2004) Anxiety, working memory, gender, and math performance. *Personality and Individual Differences* 37(3): 591–606.
- Mutodi P and Ngirande H (2014) Exploring mathematics anxiety: Mathematics students' experiences. *Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences* 5(1): 283.
- Nadeem M, Ali A, Maqbool S and Zaidi SU (2012) Impact of anxiety on the academic achievement of students having different mental abilities at university level in Bahawalpur (southern Punjab) Pakistan. International Online Journal of Educational Sciences 4(3): 519–528.
- Núñez-Peña MI, Suárez-Pellicioni M and Bono R (2013) Effects of math anxiety on student success in higher education. International Journal of Educational Research 58: 36–43.
- Núñez-Peña MI, Suárez-Pellicioni M and Bono R (2016) Gender differences in test anxiety and their impact on higher education students' academic achievement. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences* 228: 154–160.
- OECD (2003) Education at a Glance 2003: OECD Indicators. Paris: OECD Publishing.
- OECD (2013a) PISA 2012 Results: Ready to Learn: Students' Engagement, Drive and Self-Beliefs (Volume III). Paris: OECD Publishing.
- OECD (2013b) PISA 2012 Results: What Makes Schools Successful (Volume IV). Paris: OECD Publishing.
- OECD (2013c) PISA 2012 Technical Report. Paris: OECD Publishing.
- OECD (2016a) PISA 2015 Results (Volume I): Excellence and Equity in Education. Paris: OECD Publishing.
- OECD (2016b) PISA 2015 Results (Volume III): Students' Well-Being, PISA. Paris: OECD Publishing.
- OECD (2017) PISA 2015 Technical Report. Paris: OECD Publishing.
- Passolunghi MC, Caviola S, De Agostini R, Perin C and Mammarella IC (2016) Mathematics anxiety, working memory, and mathematics performance in secondary-school children. *Frontiers in psychology* 7.

- Payne BD, Smith JE and Payne DA (1983) Sex and ethnic differences in relationships of test anxiety to performance in science examinations by fourth and eighth grade students: Implications for valid interpretations of achievement test scores. *Educational and Psychological Measurement* 43(1): 267-270.
- Ramirez G, Gunderson EA, Levine SC and Beilock SL (2013) Math anxiety, working memory, and math achievement in early elementary school. *Journal of Cognition and Development* 14(2): 187–202.
- Rubin DB (2004) Multiple imputation for nonresponse in surveys. Hoboken, New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons.
- Satake E and Amato PP (1995) Mathematics anxiety and achievement among Japanese elementary school students. *Educational and Psychological Measurement* 55(6): 1000–1007.
- Schirripa Spagnolo F, Salvati N, D'Agostino A and Nicaise I (2020) The use of sampling weights in M-quantile random-effects regression: an application to PISA mathematics scores. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series C (Applied Statistics).
- Schneeweis N (2011) Educational institutions and the integration of migrants. Journal of Population Economics 24(4): 1281–1308.
- Schneeweis N and Winter-Ebmer R (2007) Peer effects in Austrian schools. Empirical Economics 32(2): 387–409.
- Syokwaa KA, Aloka PJ and Ndunge SNF (2014) The relationship between anxiety levels and academic achievement among students in selected secondary schools in Lang'ata District, Kenya. Journal Of Educational And Social Research 4(3): 403.
- Thien LM and Ong MY (2015) Malaysian and Singaporean students' affective characteristics and mathematics performance: evidence from PISA 2012. SpringerPlus 4(1): 563.
- Tzavidis N, Salvati N, Schmid T, Flouri E and Midouhas E (2016) Longitudinal analysis of the strengths and difficulties questionnaire scores of the Millennium Cohort Study children in England using M-quantile random-effects regression. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series A (Statistics in Society) 179(2): 427–452.

- Van Mier HI, Schleepen TM and Van den Berg FC (2019) Gender differences regarding the impact of math anxiety on arithmetic performance in second and fourth graders. *Frontiers in psychology* 9: 2690.
- Zeidner M (1998) Test Anxiety:: The State of the Art. Perspectives on Individual Differences, 1 edition. Springer.
- Zhang J, Zhao N and Kong QP (2019) The relationship between math anxiety and math performance: a meta-analytic investigation. Frontiers in psychology 10.